Bulletin of the Chemical Society of Japan, vol. 47(12), 3177—3178 (1974)

## Olefin Disproportionation by Molybdenum(0) Complexes and Aluminum Halides<sup>1)</sup>

Masanobu Hidai, Takashi Tatsumi, and Yasuzo Uchida Department of Industrial Chemistry, Faculty of Engineering, The University of Tokyo, Hongo, Tokyo 113 (Received June 21, 1974)

**Synopsis.** MoN<sub>2</sub>(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>·PhMe and Mo(CO)-(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> were activated by aluminum halides to give catalysts effective for the disproportionation of 2-pentene.

Halide complexes of molybdenum or tungsten in combination with organoaluminum have been known to be effective for olefin disproportionation.<sup>2-4</sup>) We wish to report new molybdenum catalyst systems, free of metal alkyls, for the disproportionation of 2-pentene. Metal alkyl-free catalysts comprised of tungsten combounds have been briefly reported before.<sup>5,6</sup>)

Treatment of MoN<sub>2</sub>(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>·PhMe<sup>7</sup>) (1) with AlCl<sub>3</sub> in bromobenzene afforded a brown suspension, which was effective for the disproportionation of 2-pentene to 2-butene and 3-hexene at ambient temperature. The system became active with an increase in the Al/Mo ratio, but the large excess of AlCl<sub>3</sub> promoted the alkylation of the solvent (Table 1). In the evaluation of other molybdenum(0) complexes, it was found that Mo(CO)-(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> (2) also was a satisfactory catalyst precursor. AlCl<sub>3</sub> could not produce catalytic systems in combina-

Table 1. Effect of AlCl<sub>3</sub>/Mo molar ratio 1: 0.05 mmol, 2-pentene: 9.4 mmol, PhBr: 4 ml, reaction time: 2 hr.

Al/Mo ratio	Olefin yield, mol%a)			
	$\widetilde{\mathrm{C_4}}$	$\widehat{ ext{C}_5}$	$\overline{\mathbf{C}_{6}}$	
1	t <sup>b)</sup>	99	t b)	
3	5.6	81	5.2	
6	17	51	17	
9	19	43	19	
12	16	47	15	

a) mol% of each olefin to the amount of 2-pentene used. b) t; trace.

Table 2. Effect of Molybdenum(0) complexes Complex: 0.05 mmol, 2-pentene: 9.4 mmol, PhCl: 4 ml.

Molybdenum complex	AlC	l <sub>3</sub> /Mo	Reaction time,	Olefin	yield,	mol%
	ratio		hr	$C_4$	$C_5$	$C_6$
$\frac{\overline{\text{MoN}_2(\text{PPh}_3)_2}}{\text{PhMe} \ (1)}$		9	2	6.8	64	6.8
$Mo(CO)(PPh_3)_2$	<b>(2</b> )	9	2	12	33	12
trans-Mo(N2)2(dpe	) <sub>2</sub> a)	20	24	2.2	40	2.2
cis-Mo(CO)2(dpe)	2 <sup>a)</sup>	20	72	0.0	43	0.0
trans-Mo(CO)4(PH	$(h_3)_2$	9	2	0.1	43	0.1
$Mo(CO)_6$		6	120	0.2	19	0.2
Mo(Ph <sub>2</sub> PCH <sub>2</sub> PPh <sub>2</sub>	2)3	17	120	0.1	22	0.1
$Mo(CO)_3(PhH)$		9	72	0.0	40	0.0

a) dpe=1,2-bis(diphenylphosphino)ethane.

tion with other molybdenum(0) compounds, except that some activity was produced with trans-Mo(N<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>-(Ph<sub>2</sub>PCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>PPh<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub><sup>7)</sup> (Table 2).

To determine the effectiveness of Lewis acids as cocatalyst with 1, parallel preparations were made with several aluminum and other metal halides. Among the aluminum halides the order of the activity was AlCl<sub>3</sub>>AlBr<sub>3</sub>>AlEtCl<sub>2</sub> (Table 3). With 2 the activity decreased in the same order. The system derived from other aluminum compounds such as AlI<sub>3</sub>, AlEt<sub>2</sub>Cl, or AlEt<sub>3</sub> was not effective. Other metal halides such as LiCl, MgCl<sub>2</sub>, BF<sub>3</sub>·Et<sub>2</sub>O, TiCl<sub>4</sub>, MoCl<sub>5</sub>, FeCl<sub>3</sub>, ZnCl<sub>2</sub>, or SnBr<sub>4</sub> were ineffective, whereas very little activity was produced by ZrCl<sub>4</sub>. It is likely that traces of moisture provides HCl from AlCl<sub>3</sub> and that it is an alternative cocatalyst. Treatment of 2 with HCl in bromobenzene afforded a reddish brown suspension, which exhibited, however, no activity.

Table 3. Effect of Lewis acids
1: 0.05 mmol, 2-pentene: 9.4 mmol, PhCl: 4 ml.

Lewis	Acid/Mo	Acid/Mo Reaction ratio time, hr	Olefin yield, mol%		
acid	ratio		$\widetilde{\mathrm{C_4}}$	$\overline{\mathrm{C}_5}$	$\overline{\mathbf{C_6}}$
AlCl <sub>3</sub>	9	2	6.8	64	6.8
$AlBr_3$	12	20	3.7	39	4.7
AlEtCl <sub>2</sub>	20	20	1.6	82	1.0
$ZrCl_4$	9	24	0.3	43	0.3

The reaction of 2 with AlCl<sub>3</sub> in bromobenzene yielded a reddish brown complex, which had a band at 1900 cm<sup>-1</sup> assigned to  $\nu(CO)$  in its IR spectrum. This band is ca. 90 cm<sup>-1</sup> higher than that of **2**. The shift is reasonable because the electron density in the molybdenum atom would be decreased by the interaction of molybdenum(0) base with the Lewis acid, resulting in a decrease in back  $\pi$ -bonding from the molybdenum to the CO ligand. Bencze and Markó have recently reported highly reactive adducts of halocarbonyl complexes of tungsten with AlCl<sub>3</sub>, which also show higher shifts of  $\nu(CO)$ .8) In the reaction of 2 with AlEtCl<sub>2</sub> or AlBr<sub>3</sub>,  $\nu(CO)$  was similarly shifted to higher frequency by 15 cm<sup>-1</sup> or 165 cm<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. Accordingly, the increment of  $\nu(CO)$  falls in the usually accepted order of Lewis acidity, AlBr<sub>3</sub>>AlCl<sub>3</sub>> AlEtCl<sub>2</sub>, while the order of effectiveness for the disproportionation was AlCl<sub>3</sub>>AlBr<sub>3</sub>>AlEtCl<sub>2</sub>.

When  $AlCl_3$  was added to **1** in bromobenzene, the absorbance at 1905 cm<sup>-1</sup> attributed to  $\nu(NN)$  disappeared. Since  $N_2$  is a weaker  $\pi$ -acceptor than CO, a decrease in back donation from the molybdenum must weaken the Mo– $N_2$  bond so much, resulting in liberation of  $N_2$  from the complex. Other molybdenum(0) complexes such as cis-Mo(CO)<sub>2</sub>(Ph<sub>2</sub>PCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>PPh<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub> and

trans- Mo(CO)<sub>4</sub>(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> were also observed to interact with  $AlCl_3$ ;  $\nu(CO)$  bands of these complexes were shifted to higher frequencies by ca. 70—100 cm<sup>-1</sup>. As described above, they were, however, not effective as catalyst precursors. The molecular weight of 2 was found to be 1290 by the vapor pressure osmometric method, indicating that 2 has a dimeric structure (Calcd 1296). Since 1 can be easily converted to 2 in the presence of CO and has the empirical formula analogous to 2, it may also have a dimeric structure. It is to be noted that the 6-coordinate mononuclear molybdenum(0) complexes did not afford active catalyst systems by the addition of aluminum halides, but that the complexes, 1 and 2, presumed to have unusual dimeric structures showed a remarkable catalytic activity.

Recently Lewandos and Pettit have reported that arene-W(CO)<sub>3</sub> complexes can act as catalyst precursors for olefin disproportionation.<sup>9)</sup> These systems are, however, not notably effective, and prolonged heating to 98 °C is necessary in order to activate the catalyst systems. We have observed that **2** itself also exhibited very little disproportionation activity at 98 °C, while the addition of AlCl<sub>3</sub> markedly stimulated the activity. Although Wang and Menapace suggested a W(IV) (d²) catalytic intermediate in the WCl<sub>6</sub>-n-BuLi sistem,<sup>10)</sup> Mo(IV) (d²) complexes such as MoCl<sub>4</sub>-(EtCN)<sub>2</sub> or MoCl<sub>4</sub>(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> could neither act as catalysts by themselves nor be activated by AlCl<sub>3</sub>.

The systems using bromobenzene or chlorobenzene as the solvent were clearly superior to those using benzene or *n*-hexane (Table 4). In the case of the latter solvents the system became almost heterogeneous, suggestive of active species having some ionic character. The complex 1 was recovered quantitatively after being allowed to stand for a long time in bromobenzene

TABLE 4. SOLVENT EFFECT

1: 0.05 mmol, AlCl<sub>3</sub>: 0.45 mmol, 2-pentene: 9.4 mmol, solvent: 4 ml, reaction time: 2 hr.

Solvent	Olefin yield, mol%			
	$\widehat{\mathrm{C}_{4}}$	$C_5$	$\overline{\mathbf{C_6}}$	
PhMe	0	2.8	0	
PhH	0.7	44	0.7	
PhCl	6.8	64	6.8	
PhBr	19	43	19	
$\mathbf{PhI}$	0	100	0	

or chlorobenzene, ruling out the posibility that the oxidative addition of the solvent occurred. The disproportionation was completely inhibited using iodobenzene, which rapidly reacted with 1. If the catalyst was prepared in toluene and 2-pentene was added, almost exclusive and rapid alkylation of toluene took place.

It has been established that in the transition metalolefin interaction, two factors are operating, i.e., σdonation from the olefin to the metal and back  $\pi$ bonding from the metal to the olefin. The magnitude of the contribution of these two factors are partly dependent on the electron density on the metal atom. The fact that AlCl<sub>3</sub> is the most effective cocatalyst with the molybdenum complexes may be explained in terms of moderate decrease in the electron density on the molybdenum by adduct formation, as indicated by the increase in  $\nu(CO)$ . The most active catalyst systems reported for homogeneous olefin disproportionation are composed of halide complexes of either molybdenum or tungsten and organoaluminum compounds. In those systems metal halides are supposed to react with organoaluminum to produce, transiently, molybdenum(0) or tungsten(0) complexes with formation of aluminum halides, leading to catalyst systems similar to our combinations. We may conclude that in the catalyst systems previously reported, organoaluminum functions not only as a reducing agent but also as an electron modifier of the reduced molybdenum or tungsten.

## References

- 1) This work was presented at the 26th Annual Meeting of the Chemical Society of Japan, Hiratsuka, April, 1972.
- 2) N. Calderon, E. A. Ofstead, J. P. Ward, W. A. Judy, and K. W. Scott, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 90, 4133 (1968).
- 3) E. A. Zuech, W. B. Hughes, D. H. Kubicek, and E. T. Kittleman, *ibid.*, **92**, 528 (1970).
- 4) L. Bencze and L. Markó, J. Organometal. Chem., 28, 271 (1971).
- 5) L. Hérrison, Y. Chauvin, N. H. Phung, and G. Lefebre, C. R. Acad. Sci. Ser. C. 269, 661 (1969).
  - 6) N. Calderon, Accounts Chem. Res., 5, 127 (1972).
- 7) M. Hidai, K. Tominari, and Y. Uchida, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., **94**, 110 (1972).
- 8) L. Bencze and L. Markó, J. Organometal. Chem., 69, C19 (1974).
- 9) G. S. Lewandos and R. Pettit, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 93, 7087 (1971).
- 10) J. L. Wang and H. R. Menapace, J. Org. Chem., 33, 3794 (1968).